Case 16-23742-TPA Doc 152 Filed 02/17/19 Entered 02/18/19 00:48:14 Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 1 of 5

2/15/19 1:57 pm CLERK

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT - WDPA

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

JAMES H. RAMSEY, JR.,

SANDRA L. RAMSEY,

Debtors

Case No. 16-23742-TPA

Chapter 13

RONDA J. WINNECOUR.

Chapter 13 Trustee,

Movant

Related to Doc. Nos. 137, 145, 147

Hearing: February 27, 2019 at 11:30 A.M.

v.

U.S. BANK TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as Trustee of the

SCIG Series III Trust, Respondent

# **ORDER DENYING MOTION TO ENLARGE AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

On September 19, 2017, the Respondent filed a Notice of Postpetition Mortgage Fees, Expenses, and Charges ("Notice") with respect to Claim No. 5-1. The Movant filed an objection to the Notice on September 12, 2018 at Doc. No. 107. On November 13, 2018, Respondent filed a Motion to Withdraw Notice of Postpetition Mortgage Fees, Expenses, and Charges Dated September 19, 2017 ("Motion to Withdraw") at Doc. No. 137. The Court granted the Motion to Withdraw in an Order issued on December 14, 2018 at Doc. No. 145. The December 14th Order, among other things, required that on or before February 12, 2019, the Respondent was to provide to the Movant "a full and comprehensive loan history from the inception of the loan." Id. at ¶2

Case 16-23742-TPA Doc 152 Filed 02/17/19 Entered 02/18/19 00:48:14 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 2 of 5

On February 12, 2019, the Respondent filed an *Amended Motion to Enlarge Deadline for Compliance with Court Order Dated December 14, 2018* ("Motion to Enlarge"), Doc. No. 147. In it the Respondent states that it has provided the Movant with a partial loan history from April 2017 through February 1, 2019, but that it is "still in the process of obtaining a complete loan history from a prior servicer showing the loan transactional history from April 2008, the inception date, through July 25, 2014, the first date of default as evidenced by the Proof of Claim Loan History filed by Respondent's predecessor-in-interest." *Motion to Enlarge* at ¶2. The *Motion to Enlarge* asks that the February 12<sup>th</sup> deadline for providing the complete loan history be extended for an additional 30 days.

The Court often grants a first motion seeking an extension of a deadline, but in this particular instance it will not do so for several reasons. Most importantly, the *Motion to Enlarge* provides absolutely no explanation that would justify any additional time being granted. As indicated above, it merely states that the Respondent is "still in the process of obtaining a complete loan history," but it does not indicate when that process started, what it entails, how much urgency the Respondent has pursued it with, what steps it has taken to compel the prior servicer to be responsive if that is an issue, or why an additional 30 days is needed through no fault of the Respondent and would be used wisely. For all the Court can tell from reading the *Motion to Enlarge*, the Respondent may have only initiated the "process" an hour before the *Motion* was filed.

Additionally, the 60-day deadline ending on February 12<sup>th</sup> was not some arbitrary date picked by the Court. It was the Respondent itself that positively represented it would supply the loan

Case 16-23742-TPA Doc 152 Filed 02/17/19 Entered 02/18/19 00:48:14 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 3 of 5

history within 60 days if the *Motion to Withdraw* were granted. *See, Motion to Withdraw* at ¶5, and the accompanying proposed order. The December 14<sup>th</sup> Order thus gave the Respondent exactly what it requested in terms of time to get the loan history, and the *Motion to Enlarge* fails to allege or explain why the Respondent should be freed from its prior commitment. In fact, in the Court's view the Respondent has already had an extra 30 days to secure the loan history because, while the *Motion to Withdraw* was filed on November 14<sup>th</sup>, the December 14<sup>th</sup> Order was not entered until 30 days later, and there was nothing to prevent the Respondent from taking steps to obtain the history in the meantime.

One final reason for denying the *Motion to Enlarge* is that there is still an unaccounted for large gap in the history. As indicated above, the Respondent alleges that it has provided the loan history from April 2017 through February 2019, and is attempting to secure the history from April 2008 through July 2014 from the prior servicer, but it says nothing about the history from August 2014 through March 2017. Based on the allegations in the *Motion to Enlarge*, there is still no assurance that a grant of additional time would result in a complete loan history.

With the *Motion to Enlarge* being denied, the February 12<sup>th</sup> deadline has passed and the Respondent has failed to comply with the December 14<sup>th</sup> Order.<sup>1</sup> The Court will therefore convene a hearing to determine whether sanctions should be imposed.

The *Motion to Enlarge* was filed at 4:04 PM on February 12<sup>th</sup> – within the deadline, albeit barely. Merely filing a motion to extend time by the existing deadline, however, does not guarantee that the motion will be granted and additional time given. The Respondent would have been better served to have filed the *Motion to Enlarge* well before the existing deadline so it could have still had time to take some further action in the event the *Motion* was denied.

Case 16-23742-TPA Doc 152 Filed 02/17/19 Entered 02/18/19 00:48:14 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 4 of 5

AND NOW, this 15th day of February, 2019, for the reasons stated above it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that,

- (1) The Motion to Enlarge is **DENIED**.
- Attorney Stephen Kelly and a aragent or employee of the Respondent with personal knowledge of the efforts that have been made to obtain a complete loan history in compliance with the December 14<sup>th</sup> Order shall both personally appear at a hearing to be held on February 27, 2019 at 11:30 A.M. in the Erie Bankruptcy Courtroom, U.S. Courthouse, 17 South Park Row, Erie, PA 16501, for the purpose of showing why the Court should not impose sanctions for the violation of the December 14<sup>th</sup> Order, including but not limited to a monetary penalty.

Thomas P. Agresti, Judge United States Bankruptcy Court

Case administrator to serve:

Steven P. Kelly, Esq. Ronda Winnecour, Esq. Dennis Spyra, Esq. Debtor

# Case 16-23742-TPA Doc 152 Filed 02/17/19 Entered 02/18/19 00:48:14 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 5 of 5 United States Bankruptcy Court

Western District of Pennsylvania

In re: James H. Ramsey, Jr. Sandra L. Ramsey Debtors

Case No. 16-23742-TPA Chapter 13

District/off: 0315-2 User: dkam Page 1 of 1 Date Rcvd: Feb 15, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

Form ID: pdf900 Total Noticed: 1

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Feb 17, 2019. db/jdb James H. Ramsey, Jr., Sandra L. Ramsey, 6001 Roslyn Street, McKeesport, PA 15135-1128

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center. NONE. TOTAL: 0

\*\*\*\*\* BYPASSED RECIPIENTS \*\*\*\*\*

TOTAL: 0 NONE.

Transmission times for electronic delivery are Eastern Time zone.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Feb 17, 2019 Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens

### CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on February 15, 2019 at the address(es) listed below:

Christopher M. McMonagle on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee of the SCIG Series III Trust cmcmonagle@sterneisenberg.com, bkecf@sterneisenberg.com Dennis J. Spyra on behalf of Joint Debtor Sandra L. Ramsey attorneyspyra@dennisspyra.com, deborah@dennisspyra.com;missdebrastone@msn.com

Dennis J. Spyra on behalf of Debtor James H. Ramsey, Jr. attorneyspyra@dennisspyra.com, deborah@dennisspyra.com;missdebrastone@msn.com

James Warmbrodt on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank National Association, not in its individual capacity but solely as trustee for the RMAC Trust, Series 2016-CTT bkgroup@kmllawgroup.com Office of the United States Trustee ustpregion03.pi.ecf@usdoj.gov

Ronda J. Winnecour cmecf@chapter13trusteewdpa.com

Ronda J. Winnecour on behalf of Trustee Ronda J. Winnecour cmecf@chapter13trusteewdpa.com on behalf of Creditor Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC sjw@sjwpgh.com, S. James Wallace srk@sjwpgh.com;PNGbankruptcy@peoples-gas.com

Steven P Kelly on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee of the SCIG Series III Trust skelly@sterneisenberg.com

TOTAL: 9